a bandoned RECEIVED

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

JUL 2 8 2003

| From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EX. | AMINING AUTHORITY | | SHERIDAN, ROSS | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| To: DOUGLAS W. SWARTZ SHERIDAN ROSS P.C. 1560 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200 DENVER, CO 80202-5141 | | £. | PCT WRITTEN OPINION | |
| | | | (PCT Rule 66) | |
| | | Date of Mailing (day/month/year) | 22 JUL 2003 | |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference | | REPLY DUE within 2 months/days from | | |
| 4366-20-PCT | T | the above date of mailing | | |
| International application No. | International filing date | (aay/montn/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) | |
| PCT/US01/29109 International Patent Classification (IPC) | 12 September 2001 (12.0 | 09.2001) | 25 September 2000 (25.09.2000) | |
| | | ion and IPC | | |
| IPC(7): G06F 17/60 and US Cl.: 705/26 Applicant | | | - | |
| | | | | |
| AVAYA TECHNOLOGY CORP. | *************************************** | | | |
| 1. This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: I Basis of the opinion II Priority III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV Lack of unity of invention V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request | | | | |
| • | to grant an extension. See | ` , | ate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. | |
| For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. | | | | |
| Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6 | | | | |
| If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. | | | | |
| 4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 25 January 2003 (25.01.2003) | | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Apthorized officer M Kemper Telephone No. 703-308-1113 | | | | |
| Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Telephone No. 703-308-1113 | | | | |

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

WRITTEN OPINION

| International | application | No. |
|---------------|-------------|-----|
| | | |

PCT/US01/29109

| I. | Basis of the opinion |
|----|---|
| 1. | With regard to the elements of the international application:* |
| | the international application as originally filed the description: pages 1-11, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of |
| | the claims: pages 12-14 , as originally filed pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of the drawings: |
| | pages 1-3, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of |
| | the sequence listing part of the description: pages NONE , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of . |
| | With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: |
| | the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3). |
| | With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing: |
| | contained in the international application in printed form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing |
| 4. | has been furnished. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: |
| | the description, pages NONE the claims, Nos. NONE the drawings, sheets/fig NONE |
| 5. | This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). |
| | Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in sopinion as "originally filed." |

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/29109

| III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1. The question whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: | | | |
| the entire international application, | | | |
| claims Nos. | | | |
| because: | | | |
| the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which doe not require international preliminary examination (specify): | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. | | | |
| no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 1-25. | | | |
| 2. A written opinion cannot be drawn due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions: | | | |
| the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard. | | | |
| the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard. | | | |
| orm PCT/IPEA/408 (Box III) (July 1998) | | | |

| WR | ITTEN | OPIN | ION |
|----|-------|------|-----|
| | | | |

International application No. PCT/US01/29109

| Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| TIME LIMIT: The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |